

# Footdrop in the Farmers in Punjab: A Retrospective Electrodiagnostic Study

RUCHIKA GARG, KHUSHDEEP SINGH ARORA, NITIN BANSAL, NAVEENTA GUPTA, HARPREET KAUR

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** This study was performed to find out the occurrence of the common footdrop which was due to peroneal nerve palsy in the farmers in Punjab, India.

**Methods:** This study consisted of 100 male subjects of which 50 were farmers (who were aged between 20 to 50 years), who were suffering from a unilateral foot drop and 50 were normal, healthy, age matched controls who were not involved in farming activities. The nerve conduction parameters (the nerve conduction velocities, latencies and amplitudes) of the common peroneal nerve and the tibial and the sural nerves were measured distally at the ankle and proximally at the knee on both the lower limbs of the subjects.

Results: On comparative evaluation, the data showed a sig-

nificantly reduced conduction velocity and amplitude on the affected side as well as on the contralateral side in the common peroneal nerve of the farmers as compared to those in the controls. The F wave latency was increased in both the limbs of the farmers in comparison to the controls.

**Conclusions:** The patients presented with a unilateral footdrop which was due to peroneal palsy, but a decreased peroneal nerve conduction was observed on the contralateral side as well. Thus, it suggested the involvement of the common peroneal nerve bilaterally. The position of sitting during harvesting or weeding was also important in inducing footdrop along with the type of the hand activity, because of occurrence of the footdrop on the side of the dominant hand.

Key Words: Footdrop, Peroneal nerve palsy, Farmer, Nerve conduction velocity

### INTRODUCTION

Footdrop can be caused by several pathologies at various levels of the central and peripheral nervous systems, which include lesions of the spinal cord, nerve roots or the peripheral nerves. Most vulnerable to trauma is the common peroneal nerve, as it is closest to the surface and the least protected [1].

After taking its origin from the sacral plexus, the sciatic nerve divides into the common peroneal (fibular) and the tibial nerves, which are proximal to the popliteal fossa [2]. The common peroneal nerve then becomes superficial, as it winds laterally around the head of the fibula and it bifurcates into the superficial and the deep peroneal nerves. The superficial peroneal nerve supplies the everters of the foot. The deep peroneal nerve innervates the dorsiflexors of the foot and it provides sensations to the web space between the first and the second toes [3]. The several peculiarities of the common peroneal nerve make it vulnerable to injuries. The peroneal nerve is fixed at the fibular head, which leads to more mechanical stretching [2], as the nerve has a limited longitudinal mobility [4]. The most common mechanism of the iniury is acute compression, traction or laceration at the fibular head. Also being known as the foot drop and strawberry picker's palsy, this palsy occurs with bed positioning, squatting, casting and tight boots. The nerve may also be entrapped, as it passes through a fibro-osseous tunnel [5]. The postoperative palsies occur especially after operations which are performed with the patients in the lateral decubitus position or in the lithotomy position. The other causes of the compression are chronic squatting and prolonged sitting in the cross-legged position [3].

The most usual cause of a unilateral drop foot is the common peroneal nerve palsy of a spontaneous, traumatic, or a pressure type. Large studies which were done on this condition in military casualties, are available [6]. A recent study which was done on a civilian population described a relatively large number of cases which were due to gunshot wounds [7]. The other published reports dealt with small numbers of patients with palsy which were due to single causes. A therapeutic weight loss, on itself, can cause a foot-drop [8]. With lesions at the fibular head, especially in farmers, the deep branch of the peroneal nerve is affected more commonly than the whole nerve [9]. The reports on more unusual cases are also available.

A patient with the peroneal nerve entrapment presents as a footdrop, with an unstable ankle and a gait difficulty. The sensory symptoms include numbness and decreased sensations along the anterolateral leg and the dorsum of the foot, between the first and the second toes [5]. The superficial peroneal nerve is commonly involved in the lesions of the common peroneal nerve or it may be seen in isolation to involve the paresis and atrophy of the peronei muscles (foot evertors) and the sensory disturbance which affects the skin of the lateral distal portion of the lower leg and the dorsum of the foot. Nerve conduction studies which are done across the entrapment site reveal slowing and small action potential amplitudes. Needle electromyography may reveal denervation of the peroneally innervated muscles [5]. Only a few electrodiagnostic studies had been carried out in the past, to study the cases of footdrop in the farmers [3].

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

50 male patients who were aged between 20 to 50 years, who were involved in all types of farming activities, were recruited as the subjects. These patients presented to the Orthopaedics OPD with a clinical history of a unilateral footdrop and a gait difficulty. The patients had been harvesting (43 cases) and weeding (7 cases) 4 to 5 months before suffering from the unilateral footdrop. 50 normal, healthy, age matched subjects who were not involved in farming activities were selected as the controls. The presence of Diabetes mellitus and polyneuropathy was ruled out by taking the clinical history and by doing urine and blood sugar level tests. The subjects were examined clinically to rule out the causes of the foot drop which were other than a peroneal nerve injury at the fibular head.

Electrophysiological studies were carried out to compare the sensory as well as the motor parameters of the deep and the superficial peroneal nerve and the tibial and the sural nerves. These were stimulated distally as well as proximally.

### THE ELECTODIAGNOSTIC STUDIES

These studies were performed by using the Clarity vision NCS/ EMG machine [Table/Fig-1], in the Department of Physiology, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Bathinda Punjab,India. The subjects were examined while they were lying comfortably in the supine position. The room temperature was kept at 25-28°C. The filters were set at 2 Hz to 5 kHz for the motor studies and at 20 Hz to 2 kHz for the sensory studies. The sweep speed was set at 5ms/division for the motor studies and 2 ms/division for the sensory studies. A stimulus duration of 50 -1000 µs and a current of 0–100 mA were required for an effective nerve stimulation. Supramaximal stimuli were delivered in order to get adequate responses. The surface fixed bar stimulating electrodes and the surface.

1-cm disc recording electrodes were used for the motor studies as well as for the sensory studies. The data was collected for the following parameters:

For the motor nerves: The Distal Motor Latency (DML), the Motor Nerve Conduction Velocity (MNCV) and the amplitude of the Compound Muscle Action Potential (CMAPA).

For the sensory nerves: The Sensory Latency (SL), the Sensory Nerve Conduction Velocity (SNCV) and the amplitude of the Sensory Nerve Action Potential (SNAPA), which were measured from the peak of the negative potential to the peak of the positive potential. For the peroneal motor nerve, the below fibular head-to-ankle conduction velocity, for the tibial motor nerve, the knee-to-ankle conduction velocity and for the sural nerve, the antidromic calf-toankle conduction velocity were recorded.

A standardized technique was used to obtain and to record the action potentials for the motor and the sensory studies.

A. The motor nerve conduction studies [Table/Fig-2a & 2b]:

#### (A) The Deep Peroneal Nerve:

1. The stimulation was done in three regions:

a) The ankle: The dorsal aspect of the distal lower leg between the tendons of the tibialis anterior (medially) and the extensor hallucis (laterally), at 8cm to the active recording electrode.

**b)** Below the fibular head: 3-4cm distal to the proximal tip of the fibular head

**c)** The popliteal fossa: Medial to the biceps femoris tendon, 10 cm below the fibular head.

**2.** Recording from E1 (over the extensor digitorum brevis: EDB) and E2 (over the fifth toe)

3. The ground electrode (GND) was placed on the lateral malleolus

#### (B) The Tibial Nerve:

1. The stimulation was done in two regions:

a) The ankle: 4-5cm below and lateral to the medial malleolus.

**b)** The popliteal fossa: Medially along the flexor crease of the knee.

**2.** Recording from E1 (over the abductor hallucis) and E2 (over the first toe).

**3.** The ground electrode (GND) was placed on the lateral malleolus.

#### B. The sensory nerve conduction studies:

The sensory nerve conduction was evaluated in the superficial peroneal nerve and in the sural nerves:

#### (A) The superficial peroneal nerve:

1. The stimulation site was in the lateral calf, 14 cm proximal to E1.

**2. The recording electrodes:** E1 was placed between the tibialis anterior tendon and the lateral malleolus, and E2 was placed 3-4 cm distally.

#### (B) The sural nerve:

1. The stimulation site was in the lateral leg, 14 cm proximal to E1.

**2. The recording electrodes:** E1 was placed between the Achilles tendon and the lateral malleolus and E2 was placed 3-4 cm distally.

#### **ETHICS**

An informed consent was taken from all the subjects. The procedures which were followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee which was responsible for human experimentation.

#### STATISTICAL METHODS

The statistical analysis was carried out by using the Student's paired 't'-test. The data was expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and the p values which were <0.05 were taken as significant.

# RESULTS

[Table/Fig-3] shows the comparison of the nerve conduction parameters of the motor and the sensory peroneal nerves in both the limbs of the farmers with those of the controls. The CMAPA and the MNCV were significantly decreased, while the DML and the F-wave (min) latency were significantly increased in the deep peroneal nerve of the affected limbs of the farmers as compared to those in the controls. The DML was increased and the CMAPA was reduced significantly in the contralateral limbs of the farmers, while there was no significant variation in the MNCV and the F-wave (min) latency as compared to those in the controls.

On studying the parameters of the superficial peroneal nerve, it was observed that the SL was significantly increased, while the SNAPA and the SNCV values were significantly lowered in the af-



[Table/Fig-1]: Clarity Vision 2 Ch NCS/EP/EMG Machine



[Table/Fig-2a]: Electrode placement for motor peroneal nerve study



[Table/Fig-2b]: Electrode placement for motor tibial nerve study

fected limbs of the farmers as compared to those in the controls. For the contralateral limb, the SNAPA and the SNCV values were significantly lowered in the farmers, while the SL values were not significantly variable from those of the controls.

[Table/Fig-4]. shows the comparison of the nerve conduction parameters of the tibial motor nerve and the sural nerve in both the limbs of the farmers with those of the controls. It was observed that none of the above mentioned parameters showed any statisti-

|                                      | (N=50) Farmers<br>Mean ± SD |                       | (N=50) Controls<br>Mean ± SD |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Deep Peroneal<br>nerve (motor)       | Affected<br>limb            | Contralateral<br>limb | Dominant<br>limb             |
| DML (msec)                           | 4.62 ±<br>0.20***           | 4.18 ±<br>0.85†††     | $3.43 \pm 0.60$              |
| CMAPA (mv)                           | 2.10 ±<br>0.85***           | 3.42 ±<br>0.36†††     | 10.91 ± 1.16                 |
| MNCV (m/s)                           | 20.31 ±<br>1.54***          | 40.80 ±<br>2.64†      | 41.56 ± 2.23                 |
| F-wave (min)<br>latency (msec)       | 60.79 ±<br>2.25***          | 50.15 ±<br>2.19†      | 49.9 ± 2.97                  |
| Superficial Peroneal nerve (sensory) |                             |                       |                              |
| SL (msec)                            | 4.78 ±<br>0.45***           | 2.59 ±<br>0.42†       | 2.54 ± 0.13                  |
| SNAPA (µv)                           | 3.29 ±<br>0.71***           | 4.96 ±<br>0.52††      | 5.59 ± 1.19                  |
| SNCV (m/s)                           | 35 .81 ±<br>1.13***         | 45.73 ±<br>2.93†††    | 54.78 ±<br>4.13              |

[Table/Fig-3]: Comparison of Nerve conduction parameters of motor and sensory peroneal nerve in both limbs of farmers and with those of controls

 $^{\ast}$  p>0.05, \*\* p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001, when affected limb of farmers compared with controls

 $\pm$  p>0.05,  $\pm$  p<0.01,  $\pm$  p<0.01, when contralateral limb of farmers compared with controls

|                                | (N=50) Farmers<br>Mean ± SD |                    | (N=50) controls<br>Mean ± SD |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Tibial nerve<br>(motor)        | Affected<br>limb            | Unaffected<br>limb | Dominant<br>limb             |
| DML (msec)                     | 4.02 ± 0.36*                | 4.10 ± 0.70†       | 3.94 ± 0.25                  |
| CMAPA (mv)                     | 11.29 ± 1.14*               | 12.3 ± 1.71†       | 12.22 ± 0.91                 |
| MNCV (m/s)                     | 46.09 ± 2.89*               | 48.93 ± 1.52†      | 47.19 ± 2.32                 |
| F-wave (min)<br>latency (msec) | 48.19 ± 2.82*               | 49.18 ± 2.63†      | 48.74 ± 1.87                 |
| Sural nerve<br>(sensory)       |                             |                    |                              |
| SL (msec)                      | 3.12 ± 0.23*                | 2.89 ± 0.22†       | 2.94 ± 0.13                  |
| SNAPA (µv)                     | 17.84 ± 0.86*               | 16.4 ± 1.35†       | 18.4 ± 1.93                  |
| SNCV (m/s)                     | 52.83 ± 1.97*               | 53.1 ± 0.89†       | 51.3 ± 2.54                  |

[Table/Fig-4]: Comparison of Nerve conduction parameters of tibial motor nerve and sural nerve in both limbs of farmers and with those of controls

\* p>0.05 when affected limb of farmers compared with controls

p>0.05 when contralateral limb of farmers compared with controls

cally significant variations in the affected as well as the contralateral limbs of the farmers when they were compared with those of the controls.

# DISCUSSION

Footdrop has many causes. Various pathologic lesions at different anatomic levels in the nervous system can lead to it. The technique of the motor nerve conduction velocity measurement in man was demonstrated and this was applied to the common peroneal nerve [10,11]. The conduction studies of the common peroneal nerve have been used chiefly for detecting peripheral neuropathy. Electrodiagnosis and electromyography are extremely helpful in establishing the diagnosis and the location of the nerve lesion and in determining the pathophysiology and in explaining the prognosis [13]. Peroneal neuropathy can be diagnosed if the electrodiagnostic findings fulfil one of the following criteria: i) An absent or a low CMAPA-6mV with fibular neck stimulation, ii) a low MNCV of < 40 m/s, and iii) an absent or low F-wave (min latency) [12].

In our study, we observed that CMAPA and MNCV were significantly decreased, while DML and the F-wave (min) latency were significantly increased in the deep peroneal nerve on the side of the foot drop in farmers, which indicated peroneal motor neuropathy as a cause of the foot drop. But we also observed an increased DML and decreased values of CMAPA in the contralateral limbs, which were asymptomatic and showed no signs of the foot drop. These findings indicated that some pathology appeared in the contralateral peroneal nerve, also once the farmers developed foot drop on the affected side. Similar findings were observed for the superficial peroneal nerve where the SNAPA and the SNCV values were significantly lowered on both the affected and the contralateral limbs in farmers. Recording the CMAPA of the peroneal nerve at the fibular neck may help in determining the precise location of the peroneal nerve lesion in the knee. In our study, the CMAPA was decreased at the ankle as well as at the fibular head in the knee, which indicated that the site of the peroneal nerve damage in the farmers was at the level of the knee joint.

Foot drop can also occur due to a lesion of the sciatic nerve or the lumbosacral plexus, thus indicating a proximal neuropathy in which the tibial motor and the sural nerve conduction parameters are also affected. In our study, there were no significant variations in the electrodiagnostic studies on the tibial motor and the sural nerves on the affected and the contralateral limbs as compared to those in the controls [Table/Fig-4]. This finding clarified that the cause of the foot drop in farmers was mainly the involvement of the peroneal nerve. In another study which was done on 103 patients, 52 lesions of peroneal neuropathy were localized at the fibular head [13]. In a retrospective study, the results of the electrophysiologic examinations in patients with paresis or paralysis of the foot dorsiflexors were analyzed. It was observed that 76.5% of the sciatic nerve lesions and 67.0% of the common peroneal nerve lesions were traumatic in origin [14].

In another study, 25 patients with commom peroneal mononeuropathy in the knee were retrospectively reviewed. The findings of the CNAPs of the CPN which were recorded at the fibular neck and the sural SNAPs were analyzed [15]. Seven patients were diagnosed to have a lesion at or distal to the fibular neck (normal CNAPs), and eighteen cases were diagnosed to have fibular head lesions (abnormal CNAPs). The sural SNAPs were normal in all the cases of the lesions which were at or distal to the fibular neck. Among the eighteen cases of the fibular head lesions, the sural SNAPs were normal in seven patients.

In the patients who present with a unilateral footdrop, bilateral common peroneal neuropathy might occur due to the nerve compression. This may be due to a repeated trauma to the peroneal nerve at the fibular head or it may be due to a particular sitting position that the patient assumes. As a matter of fact, during harvesting or weeding, a farmer squats, he bends the dominant knee completely and the other knee partially, and then makes to and fro movements of the dominant hand to cut the plants or weeds. Whether it is the complete flexion of the knee or the repeated trauma to the fibular head which are made by the forearm, the injures to the peroneal nerve are not fully understood.

# CONCLUSION

In our study, we observed that the most common cause of the foot drop in farmers was peroneal neuropathy. The peroneal neuropathy can occur on the asymptomatic side as well. We suggest that further investigations be carried out, in order to find out the mechanisms of the bilateral common peroneal nerve injury and that prophylactic measures be taken to prevent it in the farming population.

## REFERENCES

- Nagler SH, Rangell L. Peroneal palsy which was caused by crossing the legs. JAMA. 1947;133: 755-61.
- [2] Liveson JA. Peripheral neurology case studies in electrodiagnosis. Second edition, *Philadelphia*, F. A. Davis Company 1991;48-55.
- [3] Ghaffarpour M, Dolatabadi A, Harirchian MH. Footdrop in the farmers: a clinical and an electromyographical study. *Acta Medica Iranica*. 2002; 40(4):214-18.
- [4] Nobel W. Peroneal palsy which was caused by a hematoma in the common peroneal nerve sheath after distal torsional fractures and inversion ankle sprains. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 1966; 48A:1484-95.
- [5] Falco Frank JE, Lagattuta Francis P. Common nerve injuries. Reprinted from Soft tissue injuries: Diagnosis and Treatment edited by Robert E Windsor and Dennis N. Lox (c) 1998 Hanley and Belfus, Inc., *Philadelphia*, PA.
- [6] Sunderland S. Nerve and Nerve Injuries. Williams and Williams: Baltimore. 1968.
- [7] Kline DG. The operative management of the major nerve lesions of the lower extremity. *Surgical Clinics of North America*. 1972 (Oct); 1247-65.
- [8] Sotaniemi KA. Slimmer's paralysis-Peroneal neuropathy during weight reduction. J Neural Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1984; 47: 564.
- [9] Sherman DG, Easton JD. Dieting and peroneal nerve palsy. *JAMA*. 1977; 238 (3): 230-31.
- [10] Hodes R, Larrabee MG, German W. The human electromyogram in response to the nerve stimulation and the conduction velocity of the motor axons. *Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry* (Chic.) 1948; 60: 340-65.
- [11] Thoma, PK, Sears TA., Gilliatt RW. The range of the conduction velocity in the normal motornerve fibres to the small muscles of the hand and foot. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry.* 1959; 22: 175-81.
- [12] Ghate J, Ghugrare B, Patond KR, Singh R. The electrophysiological profiles of the footdrop cases-A retrospective study. *J MGIMS*. Sept 2009;14 (2):36-39.
- [13] Katirji MB, Wilbourn AJ. Common peroneal monomeuropathy: A clinical and an electrophysiologic study on 116 lesions. *Neurology*. 1988; 38: 1723.
- [14] Van Langenhove M, Pollefliet A, Vanderstraeten G. A retrospective electrodiagnostic evaluation of footdrop in 303 patients. *Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol.* 1989 Apr; 29 (3):145-52.
- [15] Kwon HK, Kim L, Park YK. The compound nerve action potential of the common peroneal nerve and the sural nerve action potential in common peroneal neuropathy. *Journal of Korean Medical Science*. 2008; 23(1):117-12. Publisher: The Korean Academy of Medical Sciences.

#### AUTHOR(S):

- 1. Dr. Ruchika Garg
- 2. Dr. Khushdeep Singh Arora
- 3. Dr. Nitin Bansal
- 4. Dr. Naveenta Gupta
- 5. Dr. Harpreet Kaur

#### PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Bathinda, Punjab, India.
- Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Bathinda, Punjab, India.
- Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Bathinda, Punjab, India.

- 4. Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, G.G.S. Medical College, Faridkot, Punjab, India.
- Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Bathinda, Punjab, India.

# NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Khushdeep Singh Arora, Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, Adesh Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Bathinda, Punjab, India. E-mail: khushdeep@gmail.com.

# FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Jul 13, 2012 Date of Peer Review: Jul 18, 2012 Date of Acceptance: Aug 04, 2012 Date of Online Ahead of Print: Oct 20, 2012 Date of Publishing: Dec 15, 2012